COHERENCE – The Multidisciplinary Research Journal
Volume No: 17, Issue No: 2, Year: 2026 (November)
PP: 263-268
ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR TRANSFORMING HIGHER EDUCATION IN INDIA: ALIGNING INNOVATION WITH NEP 2020

Mala Malik

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Dashmesh Khalsa College, Zirakpur

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly reshaping the global higher education landscape by enabling personalized learning, intelligent academic support, automated assessment, learning analytics, and new forms of research and knowledge production. For India, the transformative potential of AI acquires particular significance in the context of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and the national aspiration of building a developed and knowledge-driven society by 2047. This paper examines how AI and Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) can contribute to the transformation of Indian higher education while remaining aligned with the principles of equity, inclusion, multidisciplinary learning, innovation, employability, and human values articulated in NEP 2020. The paper adopts a conceptual and policy-oriented approach based on analysis of NEP 2020, international guidance on AI in education, contemporary research literature, and emerging workforce-skill requirements. The analysis identifies four major opportunity areas: personalized and adaptive learning, AI-supported teaching and assessment, intelligent academic and administrative systems, and technology-enabled skill development. At the same time, significant challenges emerge concerning data privacy, algorithmic bias, unequal digital infrastructure, academic integrity, misinformation, overdependence on automated systems, and inadequate faculty preparedness. The paper argues that AI should not be conceptualized as a replacement for teachers but as an augmentation technology that strengthens human pedagogical capacity. A strategic roadmap is proposed around institutional AI governance, faculty upskilling, AI literacy for students, responsible assessment redesign, secure digital infrastructure, interdisciplinary innovation, and continuous evaluation of AI interventions. The paper concludes that responsible and inclusive AI adoption can strengthen the quality, accessibility, relevance, and competitiveness of Indian higher education and contribute to the development of an innovative, skilled, ethical, and future-ready workforce required for Viksit Bharat 2047.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Generative AI, NEP 2020, Higher Education, Viksit Bharat 2047

1. INTRODUCTION

Higher education in India is undergoing a significant structural and pedagogical transformation. Traditionally, universities and colleges have focused primarily on knowledge transmission, disciplinary specialization, examinations, and degree acquisition. However, rapid technological change, globalization, changing employment patterns, and the emergence of digital knowledge ecosystems have created the need for a more flexible, interdisciplinary, technology-enabled, and competency-oriented higher education system.

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 provides a comprehensive framework for this transformation. It envisions multidisciplinary higher education, flexible curricula, improved access and equity, technology integration, research orientation, institutional autonomy, and enhanced student experiences. The policy explicitly recognizes Artificial Intelligence, machine learning, adaptive computer testing, and other emerging technologies as areas capable of changing not only what students learn but also how learning occurs (Ministry of Education, 2020).

The emergence of Generative AI has accelerated this transformation. Tools based on large language models can generate explanations, code, summaries, questions, feedback, learning resources, and other forms of content. Their educational significance extends beyond automation because they challenge conventional assumptions about authorship, assessment, knowledge acquisition, and the role of teachers.

For India, the question is therefore not whether AI will influence higher education, but how it should be integrated so that technological innovation supports educational quality, social inclusion, human development, and employability. This question is particularly relevant to the vision of Viksit Bharat 2047, which requires a highly skilled, innovative, digitally competent, and ethically responsible human resource base.

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND CONTEXTUAL BACKGROUND

Research on AI in higher education predates the recent emergence of Generative AI. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019), through a systematic review of AI applications in higher education, identified major areas including assessment and evaluation, adaptive systems and personalization, profiling and prediction, and intelligent tutoring. Their review also highlighted the limited involvement of educators in AI research, suggesting that technological innovation needs to be more closely connected with pedagogical expertise.

NEP 2020 creates a policy environment conducive to such integration. Its vision of multidisciplinary institutions, flexible learning pathways, technology-enabled education, research orientation, and enhanced access provides an institutional foundation for AI-supported education. Importantly, the policy proposes the National Educational Technology Forum as a platform for exchanging ideas and evaluating technologies for learning, assessment, planning, and administration (Ministry of Education, 2020).

The arrival of GenAI has expanded both opportunities and risks. UNESCO (2023) emphasizes that GenAI should be approached through a human-centred framework that protects human agency, inclusion, equity, cultural and linguistic diversity, and data privacy. It also recommends building AI competencies among learners and developing the capacity of teachers and researchers to use GenAI appropriately.

Recent literature demonstrates the dual nature of GenAI. Tlili et al. (2023) identified potential benefits in learning assistance and educational interaction while also highlighting concerns regarding cheating, misinformation, privacy, bias, and overdependence. Cotton et al. (2023) similarly argue that institutions require proactive policies, training, and assessment strategies to address academic integrity concerns associated with ChatGPT.

Indian research increasingly examines AI through the lens of NEP 2020. Dhokare (2024) argues that AI can support personalized learning, institutional governance, research productivity, and educational inclusion, while also identifying infrastructure, faculty capacity, data governance, and algorithmic bias as important concerns. Recent Indian bibliometric research further indicates increasing scholarly interest in AI, ChatGPT, higher education, and learning, demonstrating the growing importance of this research domain in India.

3. OPPORTUNITIES OF AI IN HIGHER EDUCATION

3.1 Personalized and Adaptive Learning

One of the most significant applications of AI is personalized learning. Conventional classrooms often rely on a common pace and instructional strategy for students with diverse levels of prior knowledge, learning styles, and academic needs. AI-based learning systems can analyze student interactions, performance, and learning patterns to provide differentiated resources and feedback.

Adaptive systems can recommend additional exercises to students experiencing difficulty while providing advanced materials to high-performing learners. AI-based tutoring can also offer explanations and practice opportunities beyond classroom hours. Such systems can potentially improve learner autonomy and support the NEP 2020 emphasis on student-centred and flexible education.

However, personalization should not become automated surveillance. Student data should be collected proportionately, securely stored, and used transparently. Human teachers must remain responsible for interpreting AI-generated recommendations.

3.2 AI-Supported Teaching and Assessment

AI can reduce some routine academic workloads. Faculty members can use AI tools to generate question banks, learning activities, examples, formative feedback, programming exercises, and differentiated instructional materials. AI can also assist in identifying common misconceptions from student responses.

Assessment represents another important area. AI-supported formative assessment can provide rapid feedback and enable teachers to identify learning gaps. However, high-stakes decisions should not be delegated entirely to automated systems. Human review remains necessary because educational achievement includes contextual, creative, social, and ethical dimensions that may not be accurately represented through automated scoring.

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

NEP 2020's emphasis on competency-based and holistic education provides an opportunity to redesign assessment around application, problem-solving, creativity, projects, and authentic performance rather than dependence on conventional recall-based examinations.

3.3 Intelligent Tutoring and Student Support

AI-powered conversational systems can function as supplementary academic assistants. Students may use them to seek explanations, practice programming, clarify concepts, generate examples, or receive immediate feedback. For Computer Science education, AI tutors can support debugging, code explanation, algorithmic thinking, and programming practice.

The role of the teacher consequently shifts from being the sole provider of information toward becoming a designer of learning experiences, mentor, evaluator, and critical guide. AI can provide scalable assistance, but human educators remain essential for motivation, contextualization, ethical reasoning, and meaningful interaction.

3.4 Reducing the Urban–Rural Resource Gap

India's geographical and socioeconomic diversity creates unequal access to experienced teachers, specialized courses, laboratories, and academic resources. Properly designed AI-enabled digital learning environments can potentially extend high-quality learning resources beyond metropolitan institutions.

Multilingual AI systems may also support learners who are more comfortable using Indian languages. This is consistent with NEP 2020's broader emphasis on Indian languages and inclusive access. However, AI cannot independently solve the digital divide. Connectivity, devices, electricity, institutional support, and digital literacy remain essential prerequisites.

3.5 Enhancing Employability and Technical Competence

AI adoption is also directly connected with employability. The World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report 2025 identifies AI and big data, networks and cybersecurity, and technological literacy among the fastest-growing skill areas, while analytical thinking, creative thinking, resilience, and lifelong learning remain critical human capabilities.

Indian higher education therefore needs to move beyond teaching isolated technical tools. Students should develop AI literacy, data literacy, programming competence, cybersecurity awareness, problem-solving ability, communication skills, creativity, and ethical reasoning. AI should become both a subject of study and a tool for learning across disciplines.

4. CHALLENGES AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

4.1 Data Privacy and Security

AI-based educational systems require student data, potentially including academic records, behavioural patterns, interaction histories, and personal information. Poor governance can expose learners to privacy violations, unauthorized profiling, or inappropriate data sharing.

Institutions should therefore establish clear rules concerning data collection, storage, access, retention, consent, and third-party AI services. UNESCO (2023) specifically emphasizes privacy protection and institutional preparedness for responsible GenAI use.

4.2 Algorithmic Bias and Inequality

AI systems can reproduce or amplify biases contained in their training data or design. In India's multilingual, multicultural, and socioeconomically diverse context, models may not perform equally across languages, regions, disciplines, or demographic groups.

Consequently, institutions should evaluate AI systems before large-scale deployment. AI-generated recommendations should be explainable where feasible, and mechanisms should exist for human review and appeal.

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

4.3 Academic Integrity and Generative AI

GenAI creates significant challenges for traditional assignments. Students can use AI to generate essays, code, presentations, and answers without demonstrating genuine learning. At the same time, blanket prohibition is difficult to enforce and may prevent legitimate educational use.

A more sustainable approach is assessment redesign. Institutions should combine supervised assessments, oral examinations, project demonstrations, reflective writing, process documentation, and application-based tasks. Students should disclose substantive AI assistance where required.

The objective should shift from detecting AI use alone toward evaluating whether students can think critically, verify information, explain their reasoning, and apply knowledge independently.

4.4 Digital Divide and Faculty Preparedness

AI can increase inequality if sophisticated tools are available only to well-resourced institutions and digitally confident students. Faculty members may also possess widely differing levels of AI literacy.

Therefore, AI transformation must include infrastructure development, affordable access, faculty development, student orientation, and continuous technical support. Technology adoption without human capacity building risks producing technologically sophisticated systems that are pedagogically ineffective.

5. STRATEGIC ROADMAP FOR VIKSIT BHARAT 2047

AI-enabled higher education should be implemented through a coordinated multi-level strategy.

First, institutions need AI governance frameworks. Every HEI should establish guidelines covering acceptable AI use, privacy, academic integrity, procurement, transparency, cybersecurity, and accountability. Policies should distinguish between legitimate assistance and inappropriate substitution of student work.

Second, faculty AI upskilling should become continuous professional development. Faculty members require practical training in prompt design, AI-assisted teaching, verification of AI outputs, AI-supported assessment, data ethics, copyright, and discipline-specific applications. UNESCO's emphasis on building teacher capacity provides a useful international direction.

Third, AI literacy should be integrated across curricula. AI literacy should not be restricted to Computer Science departments. Students in commerce, humanities, science, management, education, and professional programmes increasingly need to understand AI concepts, limitations, ethical implications, and practical applications.

Fourth, HEIs should establish AI innovation laboratories and interdisciplinary centres. Computer Science departments can collaborate with education, commerce, humanities, social sciences, management, and other disciplines to develop locally relevant AI applications. Such centres can support experimentation while evaluating educational effectiveness.

Fifth, assessment systems must evolve. Institutions should emphasize authentic learning, problem-solving, project work, oral defence, portfolios, and practical demonstrations. AI should be incorporated where it enhances learning while preserving opportunities for students to demonstrate independent reasoning.

Sixth, institutions should prioritize inclusive and multilingual AI. India's linguistic diversity provides a major opportunity for developing educational technologies that support Indian languages and culturally relevant learning materials.

Finally, AI interventions should be evidence-based. NEP 2020 itself emphasizes rigorous and transparent evaluation of educational technologies before scaling them. HEIs should therefore monitor learning outcomes, student engagement, faculty workload, equity, privacy, and cost-effectiveness before institutionalizing AI systems.

The resulting model should be understood as human-centred AI transformation rather than technological replacement. The objective is not an AI-driven university in which machines replace teachers, but an AI-enabled university in which technology amplifies human expertise, creativity, inclusion, and innovation.

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

CONCLUSION

Artificial Intelligence represents a major opportunity to transform Indian higher education in alignment with NEP 2020 and the broader vision of Viksit Bharat 2047. AI can support personalized learning, intelligent tutoring, formative assessment, academic administration, research, multilingual learning, and technical skill development. Generative AI further expands these possibilities by enabling new forms of interaction between learners, teachers, knowledge resources, and computational systems.

Nevertheless, technological capability alone cannot produce educational transformation. Data privacy, algorithmic bias, academic integrity, digital inequality, misinformation, and inadequate faculty preparedness can undermine the benefits of AI if they are not systematically addressed. International guidance increasingly emphasizes that AI in education must remain human-centred, equitable, safe, and pedagogically appropriate.

For India, the strategic priority should therefore be responsible integration rather than unrestricted adoption or complete prohibition. HEIs should develop governance frameworks, strengthen faculty and student AI literacy, redesign assessment, invest in inclusive digital infrastructure, encourage interdisciplinary innovation, and continuously evaluate AI applications.

Viksit Bharat 2047 will require more than technological infrastructure; it will require people capable of using technology creatively, critically, ethically, and productively. Higher education institutions are central to developing this capability. If AI is integrated with the principles of NEP 2020—equity, multidisciplinary learning, innovation, skill development, research, inclusion, and human values—it can become a powerful instrument for building a knowledge-driven, digitally empowered, and socially responsible India.

REFERENCES

1. Cotton, D. R. E., Cotton, P. A., & Shipway, J. R. (2023). Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. Innovations in Education and Teaching International. https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2190148

2. Dhokare, S. G. (2024). Artificial intelligence in higher education: A critical analysis in the context of India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 12(1s), 841–851.

3. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

4. Kasneci, E., Sessler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günnemann, S., Hüllermeier, E., Krusche, S., Kutyniok, G., Michaeli, T., Nerdel, C., Pfeiffer, F., Poquet, O., Sailer, M., Schmidt, A., Seidel, T., … Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. Learning and Individual Differences, 103, 102274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274

5. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Government of India.

6. Sharma, B., & V M, S. (2026). A descriptive bibliometric study of artificial intelligence in education in India. Indian Journal of Educational Technology, 8(1), 13–29.

7. Tlili, A., Shehata, B., Adarkwah, M. A., Bozkurt, A., Hickey, D. T., Huang, R., & Agyemang, B. (2023). What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. Smart Learning Environments, 10, Article 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00237-x

8. UNESCO. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. UNESCO. https://doi.org/10.54675/EWZM9535

9. University Grants Commission. (2024). Guidelines for institutional development plans for higher education institutions. University Grants Commission.

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

10. World Economic Forum. (2025). The Future of Jobs Report 2025. World Economic Forum.

11. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—Where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16, Article 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0