COHERENCE – The Multidisciplinary Research Journal
Volume No: 17, Issue No: 2, Year: 2026 (November)
PP: 1-6
ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

TRANSFORMING HUMAN POTENTIAL: EDUCATION AS A PILLAR OF A DEVELOPED, INCLUSIVE AND SELF-RELIANT INDIA BY 2047

Neetu Ohri

Principal, A.S. College for Women, Khanna, Punjab, India

ABSTRACT

India's aspiration to become a developed nation by 2047 requires more than economic expansion and technological modernisation. It calls for sustained investment in human capabilities, fair institutions, scientific inquiry, and responsible citizenship. Education is central to this transformation because it influences productivity, social mobility, democratic participation, and the capacity to innovate. This paper examines how educational reform can contribute to the vision of Viksit Bharat 2047, with particular attention to the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, foundational learning, employability, digital transformation, women's education and the role of higher education institutions. The study uses a qualitative documentary approach. It analyses selected national policy documents, official education and research statistics, international reports, and foundational theoretical literature. The analysis is organised around five themes: educational access and quality; human capital and capabilities; skills and employment; technology and research; and inclusion and institutional governance. The paper's principal contribution is an author-developed three-stage roadmap for educational transformation covering 2024–2030, 2030–2040 and 2040–2047. The roadmap is presented as a conceptual proposal rather than an official government plan. The paper argues that India's development ambitions will depend on moving beyond enrolment-led expansion towards demonstrable improvements in learning, equity, research capacity, and graduate opportunities. It concludes that education should be treated not simply as a social-sector expenditure but as a long-term foundation of national development.

Keywords: Viksit Bharat 2047; National Education Policy 2020; educational transformation; human capital; capability approach; higher education; inclusion; research and innovation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aspiration of Viksit Bharat 2047 offers India a long-term opportunity to define development in terms that extend beyond aggregate economic performance. The centenary of independence is a meaningful horizon against which to consider the quality of public institutions, the distribution of opportunity and the capabilities available to citizens. Economic growth, infrastructure, and technological progress are necessary components of development, but they do not by themselves establish whether people can lead secure, productive, and meaningful lives.

Education is one of the principal means through which these wider capabilities are developed. It can strengthen literacy, professional competence, scientific reasoning and the ability to take part in civic life. It can also widen social mobility by enabling individuals to access knowledge and opportunities that may otherwise remain beyond their reach. Yet these outcomes are not automatic. They depend on the quality of teaching, the relevance of curricula, the availability of institutional support and the social and economic conditions in which learners' study.

India has expanded access to school and higher education over several decades. The next challenge is to ensure that expansion translates into strong learning, meaningful completion, employability, and research capability. The latest All India Survey on Higher Education figures reported by the Ministry of Education show that the higher education Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) reached 30 per cent in 2023–24, while the female GER reached 31.2 per cent (Ministry of Education, 2026). These figures show progress in participation, but enrolment is only one dimension of educational performance. It does not, on its own, reveal the quality of learning, the distribution of opportunity between regions or the extent to which graduates secure work aligned with their education.

The National Education Policy 2020 provides a broad framework for addressing this challenge through foundational learning, multidisciplinary education, vocational exposure, flexibility, technology, and research (Ministry of Education, 2020). The central issue is now implementation: whether policy intentions can be translated into better classroom experiences and stronger institutional capacity across a highly diverse education system.

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

This paper argues that education should be understood as the connecting foundation between economic productivity, social justice and national self-reliance. It develops an integrated framework linking foundational learning, skills, digital capacity, research, gender inclusion, and institutional leadership to the 2047 aspiration.

2. CONCEPTUAL AND THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

2.1 Human capital perspective

Human capital theory explains education and training as investments that can improve an individual's knowledge, skills and productive capacity. Becker (1964) showed how education may be analysed as an investment with economic returns. This perspective is relevant to India because a large working-age population becomes a developmental advantage only when people can access learning that is of sufficient quality and relevance. Where qualifications are disconnected from practical competence, the potential contribution of education to productivity may be weakened.

However, an exclusively economic interpretation is incomplete. Education also has intellectual, cultural, and civic purposes. Its value cannot be reduced to earnings or immediate labour-market outcomes.

2.2 Capability approach

Sen's (1999) capability approach broadens the meaning of development by focusing on the substantive freedoms people must pursue lives they value. Education can expand these freedoms by strengthening knowledge, confidence, and the ability to make informed choices. Yet a formal educational opportunity may not become a real capability if learners face barriers such as poverty, unsafe transport, disability-related exclusion, limited connectivity, or restrictive social expectations.

Taken together, the human capital and capability perspectives suggest that education contributes to national development through two related pathways: it strengthens productive capacity and expands the real opportunities available to individuals. A credible Viksit Bharat framework must attend to both.

3. REVIEW OF POLICY AND RESEARCH LITERATURE

Research on education and development has long examined the relationship between learning, productivity, and individual opportunity. Becker's (1964) human capital analysis provides a foundation for understanding education as an investment in skills and productive capacity. Sen (1999), by contrast, emphasises the expansion of human freedoms and capabilities, drawing attention to the social conditions that decide whether individuals can convert education into meaningful opportunities.

At the international policy level, the United Nations' 2030 Agenda finds inclusive and fair quality education and lifelong learning as Sustainable Development Goal 4 (United Nations, 2015). This agenda situates education within a wider development framework that includes poverty reduction, gender equality, decent work, and sustainable communities.

In India, NEP 2020 sets out a reform vision that includes early childhood care and education, foundational literacy and numeracy, curricular flexibility, vocational education, multidisciplinary higher education, and research. The policy also finds teacher vacancies and foundational learning as issues requiring sustained attention (Ministry of Education, 2020). Its significance lies not only in proposing structural changes but also in recognising that educational quality depends on the foundations of learning and the capacity of institutions to deliver it.

Technology is another prominent theme in contemporary education policy. UNESCO's 2023 Global Education Monitoring Report argues that digital technology should be assessed in terms of relevance, equity, scalability, and sustainability, and that it should support rather than displace meaningful human interaction in education (UNESCO, 2023). This is an important caution for systems where access to devices, connectivity and teacher preparation stays uneven.

The literature and policy sources therefore provide important building blocks, but they are often discussed in separate domains: access, skills, digital learning, gender, research, and institutional governance. This paper brings these dimensions together in a single long-term educational transformation framework.

4. RESEARCH GAP, RATIONALE AND CONTRIBUTION

The policy discourse on Viksit Bharat 2047 is broad, while education research often concentrates on interventions or institutional levels. There is room for a framework that connects foundational learning, higher education participation, employability, research intensity, digital access, and social inclusion to a common national development horizon.

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

A further gap concerns the distance between national policy commitments and the capacity of individual institutions to implement them. Schools and colleges differ in staffing, infrastructure, financial resources, location, and student profile. The same reform may therefore produce different results unless implementation is supported by context-sensitive planning.

This paper addresses these concerns by proposing a three-stage roadmap that links foundational stabilisation, capacity scaling, and global knowledge leadership. Its contribution is conceptual and integrative: it finds connected priorities and suggests how they may be sequenced over time. The framework is not presented as a tested causal model or as an official government roadmap. It is intended to support policy discussion and provide a basis for future empirical research.

5. METHODOLOGY

The paper adopts a qualitative documentary and policy-analysis design. It does not report a survey, interview study, or experiment. The documentary corpus comprises: (a) the National Education Policy 2020; (b) official AISHE information for 2023–24 as reported by the Ministry of Education; (c) official research and development expenditure information for 2023–24 reported by the Department of Science and Technology; (d) UNESCO's 2023 Global Education Monitoring Report on technology in education; (e) the United Nations 2030 Agenda; and (f) foundational theoretical works by Becker and Sen.

Documents were selected because they address national educational policy, participation, research capacity, technology, development aims or theoretical explanations of education's contribution to development. The material was examined thematically under five categories: access and learning quality; human capital and capabilities; skills and employment; digital technology and research; and equity and institutional governance. The analysis compared policy intentions with implementation conditions found in the documents and literature.

The three-stage roadmap was developed by synthesising these themes and arranging them into a proposed sequence of priorities. It is an analytical construct created for this paper. The numerical milestones included in the roadmap are illustrative proposals and should be assessed through further feasibility studies before being adopted as policy targets.

The method has limitations. Documentary analysis cannot set up the actual effectiveness of reforms in individual institutions, nor can it find causal relationships between educational interventions and development outcomes. The paper therefore offers a conceptual interpretation rather than empirical proof. Future studies may test the framework through institutional case studies, longitudinal indicators, or comparative state-level analysis.

6. EDUCATION AS A FOUNDATION OF NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

From access to proved learning

Enrolment is an important measure of participation, but it should not be treated as a proxy for learning. Students need secure foundations in reading, writing, numeracy and comprehension before they can benefit fully from later schooling. Weak foundational learning can accumulate over time and affect confidence, progression and access to higher-level study.

Educational planning should therefore give priority to classroom teaching, diagnostic assessment, remedial support and teacher development. Institutions need to know not only whether students attend but also what they are able to understand and apply. This requires assessment systems that inform teaching rather than merely rank learners.

Education, productivity, and employment

India's economic transition will require a workforce able to adapt to automation, digital processes, changing occupational structures and new forms of enterprise. Academic knowledge stays essential, but it must be complemented by communication, problem-solving, teamwork, digital literacy and practical experience.

Vocational learning should be integrated into mainstream educational pathways without creating a hierarchy in which vocational routes are regarded as inferior. Internships, work-integrated learning, local industry partnerships, and entrepreneurship education can help students connect knowledge with practice. At the same time, higher education must preserve the intellectual value of disciplines whose contribution is not at once captured by labour-market indicators.

7. NEP 2020 AND THE CHALLENGE OF IMPLEMENTATION

NEP 2020 seeks to move Indian education towards a more flexible, multidisciplinary, and learner-centred system. Its emphasis on foundational literacy and numeracy is especially important because later reforms cannot

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

compensate for the absence of basic learning. Its proposals for vocational exposure, flexible curricular structures and multidisciplinary higher education also create opportunities to connect academic learning with practical and interdisciplinary problem-solving.

Implementation, however, is not uniform or automatic. Institutions require trained faculty, adequate infrastructure, academic support, transparent assessment, and stable funding. Smaller colleges and institutions serving rural or semi-urban populations may face constraints that are less severe in well-resourced universities. A national reform agenda should therefore combine common standards with differentiated implementation support.

The policy's long-term value will be judged by changes in students' actual educational experiences: whether they learn more effectively, gain meaningful choices, complete programmes successfully and are better prepared for work, research, and citizenship.

8. SKILLS, EMPLOYABILITY AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP

The relationship between degrees and employment stays a central concern in educational planning. Qualifications can signal learning, but employers and self-employment increasingly require applied competence, communication, adaptability and the capacity to learn continuously. Institutions should review curricula in consultation with employers, professional bodies, alumni, and local communities while keeping academic independence.

Colleges in smaller cities can make a distinctive contribution by linking programmes with regional economic needs. Depending on local conditions, this may include digital services, small business management, creative industries, agriculture-related enterprises, tourism, education services, or community development. Such partnerships should be designed to broaden students' opportunities, not narrow education to immediate market demand.

Entrepreneurship education can also help students move from seeking employment to finding problems and developing practical solutions. Access to mentoring, incubation, financial literacy, and professional networks is important if this aspiration is to become practical.

9. DIGITAL EDUCATION, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND RESEARCH

Digital platforms, open educational resources, virtual laboratories and artificial intelligence tools can extend access to learning materials and support new forms of teaching. Their benefits, however, depend on connectivity, affordability, accessibility, and teacher preparedness. UNESCO (2023) cautions that technology should be judged by its educational relevance and equity, not by novelty alone.

Digital inequality can reproduce existing disadvantage. A student without a suitable device, reliable internet or a quiet study environment cannot receive help from online resources on the same terms as a student with these facilities. Institutions should therefore combine digital provision with accessible libraries, supported laboratories, offline resources, and human guidance.

Artificial intelligence creates added responsibilities. Institutions need policies on academic integrity, privacy, data protection, bias, transparency, and the verification of AI-generated information. Students should be taught to use such tools critically and show their use where required. The aim should be to strengthen independent thinking, not outsource it.

Research capacity is equally important to national self-reliance. The Government of India reported gross R&D expenditure of 0.84 per cent of GDP in 2023–24 (Department of Science and Technology, 2026). This figure suggests that strengthening the research ecosystem remains a significant national task. Universities and colleges can contribute through faculty research, student projects, interdisciplinary collaboration and partnerships with public agencies and industry. Research funding should be accompanied by sound mentorship, ethical review, infrastructure and pathways through which findings can inform practice.

10. WOMEN'S EDUCATION AND INCLUSIVE DEVELOPMENT

Women's education is central to a development model that seeks to expand opportunity across society. Higher participation by women in education can contribute to economic independence, informed decision-making and wider social participation. The reported female higher education GER of 31.2 per cent in 2023–24 is an important indicator of progress (Ministry of Education, 2026). Yet participation data do not fully capture the barriers that influence continuation, completion, and transition into employment.

Safety, transport, affordability, family responsibilities, digital access and unequal employment opportunities may affect whether women can convert educational participation into wider capabilities. Institutions should

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

respond through safe and accessible campuses, mentoring, scholarships, counselling, career support and mechanisms for addressing harassment and discrimination.

Inclusion must also address economic disadvantage, disability, rural location and social marginalisation. Educational equality should be assessed through access, retention, learning achievement, completion, and post-study opportunities. Women's colleges and other institutions serving underrepresented learners can play a significant role in leadership development, digital competence, research participation and entrepreneurship.

11. HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS AND INSTITUTIONAL LEADERSHIP

Universities and colleges contribute to nation-building through teaching, research, professional preparation, and engagement with communities. Their effectiveness depends not only on formal structures but also on institutional leadership, academic culture, and the availability of resources.

Principals, heads of departments and university leaders influence the conditions in which teaching and research take place. Academic leadership should therefore combine strategic planning with consultation, transparency, staff development and accountability. Excessive administrative burdens can reduce the time available for teaching, mentoring, and research; institutional systems should be designed to protect these core functions.

Colleges in smaller towns and semi-urban areas deserve particular attention. They often provide access to higher education for learners who cannot relocate to metropolitan centres. Their contribution to regional mobility and local development should be recognised through proper funding, faculty support, digital infrastructure and research partnerships.

12. A THREE-STAGE ROADMAP FOR EDUCATIONAL TRANSFORMATION, 2024–2047

The following roadmap is proposed by the author as a way of sequencing priorities. It is not an official government plan. The stages overlap in practice; the dates indicate broad planning horizons rather than rigid completion deadlines.

Stage I: Foundational stabilisation (2024–2030)

The first stage should strengthen the foundations on which later reforms depend. Priorities include improving foundational literacy and numeracy; supporting teachers through recruitment and professional development; addressing infrastructure gaps; expanding reliable digital access; and improving the collection and use of learning-outcome data. Since this period is already under way, the immediate task is to assess progress and direct support towards areas where implementation stays weak.

Public investment should be evaluated not only by aggregate spending but also by the adequacy, equity, and effectiveness of allocation. Funding for classrooms, libraries, laboratories, teacher development, and student support should be linked to transparent institutional plans.

Stage II: Capacity scaling (2030–2040)

The second stage should expand the capacity of schools, colleges, and universities to provide relevant, high-quality learning. Priorities include strengthening higher education participation, integrating vocational and academic pathways, expanding research partnerships, improving access to advanced digital tools, and supporting entrepreneurship. NEP 2020 set a policy goal of raising the higher education GER to 50 per cent by 2035; this paper treats that as a policy commitment to be checked rather than a newly invented target (Ministry of Education, 2020).

Capacity scaling must include quality assurance. Expansion without qualified faculty, adequate infrastructure and effective academic support risks increasing enrolment without corresponding improvement in learning.

Stage III: Global knowledge leadership (2040–2047)

The final stage should join India's position as a major contributor to knowledge, research, and innovation. Priorities include stronger research universities, international academic collaboration, domestic intellectual property generation, high-quality doctoral education, and the translation of research into public and economic value.

Ambitions such as placing 20 or more Indian universities among the world's top 100 or raising R&D expenditure above 2.5 per cent of GDP may be considered aspirational scenarios for discussion. They are not presented here as official targets or forecasts. Their feasibility would require careful analysis of funding, research quality, institutional autonomy, international benchmarking, and the time needed to build research ecosystems.

ISSN: 0976-5301
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.85)
Journal Website www.coherencejournal.in

CONCLUSION

Viksit Bharat 2047 is an ambitious national aspiration, but its realisation will depend on the quality of human capabilities and institutions developed over the coming decades. Education is central to this task because it shapes knowledge, skills, opportunity, research capacity, and citizenship. The challenge is not simply to expand the number of learners or institutions; it is to ensure that educational participation results in meaningful learning and wider life chances.

NEP 2020 provides a significant policy framework, but implementation will determine its impact. Foundational learning, teacher capacity, equitable access, vocational relevance, responsible technology, and research must be addressed as connected priorities. Colleges and universities, including those serving smaller cities and semi-urban communities, should be recognised as active partners in this transformation.

The three-stage roadmap proposed in this paper offers one way to connect immediate improvements with long-term ambitions for research and global knowledge leadership. It is a conceptual framework requiring further empirical testing and policy refinement. A developed India will be judged not only by the scale of its economy or technological achievements, but also by the quality of its institutions and the opportunities available to all citizens. Education is therefore not merely one sector within the Viksit Bharat agenda; it is a foundation on which the wider vision depends.

REFERENCES

1. Becker, G. S. (1964). Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education. National Bureau of Economic Research.
2. Department of Science and Technology. (2026). Research and development expenditure statistics, 2025–26 [Data reported in parliamentary reply]. Government of India. https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2291139
3. Ministry of Education. (2020). National education policy 2020. Government of India. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf
4. Ministry of Education. (2026, July 8). Union Ministry for Education releases reports of the All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE): 2022–23 & 2023–24. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2282525
5. Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.
6. UNESCO. (2023). Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education—A tool on whose terms? UNESCO. https://www.unesco.org/gem-report/en/publication/technology
7. United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda